Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

August 30, 2019

Kevin McAleenan Acting Secretary Department of Homeland Security 3801 Nebraska Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20528

Ken Cuccinelli Acting Director U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Administrative Appeals Office 20 Massachusetts Ave., NW, MS 2090 Washington, D.C. 20529

Matthew T. Albence Acting Director U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement U.S. Department of Homeland Security 500 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C 20536

Dear Acting Secretary McAleenan, Acting Director Cuccinelli, and Acting Director Albence,

We write to express our grave concerns with U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' (USCIS) recent decisions to end consideration of non-military deferred action requests and, supposedly, to transfer processing authority to U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE). This decision will needlessly endanger vulnerable children and families nationwide seeking medical deferments for individuals receiving life-saving treatment for serious illnesses. We urge you to immediately reverse this shift in policy, resume consideration of these urgent requests, provide the justification for the policy change, and provide clarification on the agency's plans for implementation.

Medical deferred action is a subset of deferred action—"an act of administrative convenience to the government which gives some cases lower priority."¹ Medical deferred action has been a form of prosecutorial discretion exercised to permit immigrants with major medical illness, and their families, to remain in the United States, work legally, and be safe from deportation while receiving treatment. Individuals requesting deferred action from USCIS are among the most vulnerable. Children and families submit such requests due to severe medical conditions like cancer, epilepsy, cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy, and cystic fibrosis. In many cases, these treatments are life-saving.

This month, applicants from across the country seeking medical deferred action have received letters from USCIS summarily denying their requests. The notices state that USCIS "field offices no longer consider deferred action requests,"² and that applicants "are not authorized to

² USCIS, Decision Letters (Aug. 2019),

https://d279m997dpfwgl.cloudfront.net/wp/2019/08/Redacted MedDA denials.pdf.

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

¹ 8 C.F.R. § 274a.12(c)(14).

remain in the United States.³ The notices further explain that if applicants do not leave the United States within 33 days, they could be "removed from the United States and found ineligible for a future visa or other U.S. immigration benefit."

These summary denials have understandably caused anguish and fear for families whose children and other loved ones are in the United States receiving treatment for potentially fatal diseases, and who might not be able to receive life-saving treatment elsewhere.⁴ According to agency estimates, USCIS receives approximately 1,000 deferred action requests annually.⁵ This decision will have lasting and serious implications for affected families and in many cases will result in individuals being forced to return to countries where the absence of necessary medical care threatens their survival.

USCIS's letters have also spawned confusion. Because the letters indicate that USCIS is no longer considering *any* non-military deferred action requests, they suggest a dramatic curtailment of deferred action even beyond the medical-need context. In addition, the letters make no mention of other recourse available to the applicants. Although USCIS reportedly claimed that ICE will now be considering deferred action requests, the letters do not refer applicants to ICE, and there have been no formal notices of how to petition ICE for deferred action.⁶ In response to inquiries from Senator Markey's staff, ICE stated that it does not and will not consider a deferred action request until the individual in question has been through removal proceedings and has an order of removal. ICE officials further stated the agency does not intend to change its deferred action policy, even following USCIS's decision. ICE officials have made similar statements publicly. Officials rejected claims that the agency will be handling these requests and have stated that "ICE is not going to implement any sort of a program or procedure or policy to take over that function."⁷

Regardless of whether ICE will take on this responsibility, however, it is unacceptable for USCIS to abandon its longstanding responsibility for deferred action requests. Requiring that prospective applicants request this humanitarian relief by applying to an immigration enforcement agency that detains and deports hundreds of thousands of immigrants annually, will deter many vulnerable children and families from coming forward and seeking life-saving protection.

Importantly, USCIS's failure to provide advance public notice of this policy shift reflects USCIS's growing disregard for transparency and accountability. In recent years, USCIS has

³ Ibid.

 ⁴ Michael Levenson, 'I Feel Like I'm Signing My Son's Death Warrant.' Children at Boston Hospitals Face Deportation, The Boston Globe (Aug. 29, 2019), https://www.bostonglobe.com/metro/2019/08/26/immigrantfamilies-with-severely-ill-children-face-deportation-advocates-say/EMXZQURTzE0U25L6xQIYBN/story.html.
 ⁵ Sarah Betancourt, Trump Gives Immigrant Families Getting Medical Care 33 Days to Leave, CommonWealth Magazine (Aug. 29, 2019), https://commonwealthmagazine.org/immigration/trump-administration-endingprotected-medical-status-for-immigrants/.

⁶ Shannon Dooling, Trump Administration Ends Protection For Migrants' Medical Care, NPR (Aug. 29, 2019), https://www.npr.org/2019/08/27/754634022/trump-administration-ends-protection-for-migrants-medical-care.

⁷ Shannon Dooling, Feds Can't Agree On Which Agency — If Any — Handles Medical Deportation Deferrals, WBUR News (Aug. 29, 2019), https://www.wbur.org/news/2019/08/28/ice-uscis-immigrants-medical-deferred-action.

repeatedly implemented far-reaching new measures without adequate notice or clear explanation.⁸ This policy change is unfair to families who followed longstanding USCIS procedures for requesting deferred action, only to discover in a denial letter that those policies have changed. Additionally, it is extremely troubling that USCIS applied this policy retroactively, stunning families who requested protection long before the policy took effect.

On its face, this change represents another cruel action by the Trump Administration to attack our most vulnerable immigrant neighbors. We therefore urge you to immediately reverse this inhumane and unnecessary policy and to approve the pending medical deferment requests. In addition, we request answers to the following questions by September 13, 2019:

- 1. How many non-military deferred action requests (excluding Service Center requests) has USCIS received from Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 to FY 2019? Please provide the data broken down by fiscal year and note the number of these requests that pertain to medical deferred action.
- What is USCIS's current policy with respect to deferred action, both in the medical-need context and in other contexts? Please provide copies of all current Department of Homeland Security (DHS)—including USCIS and ICE—guidance and policies regarding deferred action.
- 3. The new USCIS policy reportedly took effect on August 7, 2019.⁹ As of that date, how many deferred action requests were pending at USCIS field offices? Please provide the number of requests at each field office and the dates on which they were submitted.
- 4. Since August 7, 2019, how many applicants for deferred action has USCIS denied under this new policy?
 - a. How many of these applicants requested deferred action on the basis of medical need?
 - b. How many of these applicants requested deferred action on other bases?
- 5. What was the rationale for the policy change? Please provide any emails, memoranda, guidance, or other documents discussing the rationale for the policy change.
 - a. Who were the most senior officials in the White House and in DHS who approved the change before August 7, 2019?
 - b. Please indicate whether, prior to this policy change's effective date of August 7, 2019, USCIS engaged with external stakeholders to solicit feedback on the anticipated consequences of this policy change.

⁸ See, e.g., American Immigration Lawyers Association, Deconstructing the Invisible Wall (Apr. 24, 2018), https://www.aila.org/infonet/aila-report-deconstructing-the-invisible-wall.

⁹ American Immigration Lawyers Association, USCIS Stops Accepting and Adjudicating Deferred Action Applications for Non-Military Applicants (Aug. 29, 2019), https://aila.org/advo-media/aila-practice-pointers-andalerts/practice-alert-uscis-stops-accepting.

- 6. Why did USCIS decide not to provide advance notice to the public or to Congress before this change was enacted?
- 7. What formal notice has been provided—to the public or to Congress—that this change has been enacted?
- 8. ICE was reportedly "blindsided" by this policy change.¹⁰ Did USCIS and ICE collaborate on this policy change before the August 7, 2019 enactment date? If so, for how long did USCIS and ICE work together on formulating this change? If not, why not?
 - a. Please provide any emails, memoranda, guidance, or other documents discussing the rationale and transition process for the policy change.
- 9. What processes and structures does ICE have in place to facilitate the processing of deferred action requests? Does ICE ever consider requests for deferred action prior to the completion of removal proceedings?
 - a. If not, does ICE intend to change its processes to account for USCIS's decision to no longer consider non-military deferred action requests?
 - b. How will DHS process deferred action requests for those who have had no contact with the removal system previously, who have standing for a deferred action request, and who may incur a re-entry bar while waiting for immigration court proceedings to be completed? Will the government authorize their presence so these families do not accrue unlawful presence?
- 10. How (if at all) does USCIS plan to transfer information on denied or currently pending requests to ICE in order to process deferred action requests?
- 11. What is the formal process in which ICE will consider deferred action requests?
 - a. What is ICE's process for receiving and considering future deferred action requests?
 - b. How will that information be communicated to individuals applying for deferred action via USCIS field offices?
 - c. An ICE spokesperson has reportedly said, "As with any request for deferred action, ICE reviews each case on its own merits and exercises appropriate discretion after reviewing all the facts involved."¹¹ Does this suggest that ICE will use different criteria or standards than USCIS had been using when considering deferred action requests?
 - d. What standards will ICE use to consider deferred action requests?
- 12. The denial letters sent by USCIS provide less information than has reportedly been provided by USCIS and ICE spokespersons to the news media.

¹⁰ Monique Madan & Ben Conarck, Undocumented Immigrants with Serious Illnesses Had a Lifeline. Now It May Be Gone, Miami Herald (Aug. 29, 2019),

https://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/immigration/article234426937.html.

¹¹ Shannon Dooling, Trump Administration Ends Protection For Migrants' Medical Care, NPR (Aug. 29, 2019), https://www.npr.org/2019/08/27/754634022/trump-administration-ends-protection-for-migrants-medical-care.

- a. Why wasn't information regarding ICE consideration of deferred action requests stated in the denial letters sent by USCIS?
- b. Why weren't the outstanding requests referred to ICE automatically for processing, instead of being rejected automatically?
- 13. Without deferred action, some of these individuals currently in the United States for medical treatment—including children—risk deteriorating health conditions and even death. Was this taken into account when the policy change was enacted? If so, how was it taken into account?
- 14. Prior to August 7, 2019, did USCIS conduct any studies concerning the anticipated chilling effect of requiring prospective deferred action applicants to seek that relief from ICE rather than USCIS? If so, please provide documentation of these studies and their results. If not, please explain why not.

Sincerely,

United States Senator

Elizabeth Warren nited States Senator

Judy Chu Member of Congress

Avanna F

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

rrea

Member of Congress

Mark DeSaulnier Member of Congress

No Sylvia R. Garcia Nydia M. Velázquez Rashida Tlaib

Member of Congress

Sylvia R. García Member of Congress

Rashida Tlaib Member of Congress

Jesús G. "Chuy" García Member of Congress

Ro Khanna Member of Congress

Andy Levin

James P. McGovern Member of Congress

Adriano Espaillat Member of Congress

Gwen fore

Member of Congress

Vennica

Veronica Escobar Member of Congress

Adam Smith Member of Congress

Bill Foster Member of Congress

Julia Brownley Member of Congress

Grace Meng Member of Congress

Jan Schakowsky (Member of Congress

Eliol L. Eng

Eliot Engel Member of Congress

Conor Lamb Member of Congress

Donald M. Parne Jr. Member of Congress

Mazie K. Hirono
United States Senator

1917 Lei

Kirsten Gillibrand United States Senator

Bala

Bernard Sanders United States Senator

Tanmy Baldwin United States Senator

lobuchar

United States Senator

Robert Menendez

United States Senator

Thomas R. Carper United States Senator

Brown Shurred

Sherrod Brown United States Senator

Richard Blumenthal United States Senator

Cory A. Booker

Cory A. Booker United States Senator

Ron Wyden

Kon Wyden United States Senator

Dianne Feinstein United States Senator

Kamala D. Harris United States Senator

Richard J. Durbin United States Senator

hai Rustos

Cedric L. Richmond Member of Congress

Jennifer Wexton Member of Congress

Cheri Bustos Member of Congress

Salud O. Carbajal Member of Congress

John Sarbanes

Member of Congress

Seth Moulton Member of Congress

Garamendi

Member of Congress

Gregory W. Meeks Member of Congress

Brenden Boyle Member of Congress

1 Abert

Ted Deutch Member of Congress

Ruben Gallego Member of Congress

Gilbert R. Cisneros, Jr. Member of Congress

Jamie Raskin Member of Congress

Rep. André Carson Member of Congress

Al Lawson Member of Congress

Anthony G. Brown Member of Congress

Bonnie Watson Coleman Member of Congress

Jahana Haye Member of Congress

2 mgn

Susan A. Davis

Member of Congress

Clijah E. Cummings Member of Congress

earthal

Alan Lowenthal Member of Congress

Ilhan Omar Member of Congress

Jerrold Nadler Member of Congress

Linda T. Sonchez Member of Congress

Grace F. Narolitano Member of Congress

Albio Sires Member of Congress

Janak

Diana DeGette Member of Congress

Jackie Speier

Member of Congress

Pramila Jayapal Member of Congress

Stri Stwell Rick

Terri A. Sewell Member of Congress

Nita Lowey Member of Congress

Unitte D. Cheles

Yvene D. Clarke Member of Congress

Rick Larsen Member of Congress

athe Katherine Clark

Member of Congress

ank annu Paul D. Tonko Danny K. Davis Raja Krishnamoorthi

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Cardon B. Wels

Carolyn B. Maloney Member of Congress

Karen Bass Member of Congress

Led W. Ted W. Lieu

Member of Congress

Junmy Gomez Daniel T. Kildee

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Jim Cooper Member of Congress

Jim Hames

Member of Congress

Deb Haaland Member of Congress

David Trone Member of Congress

Mark Pocan

Member of Congress

Debbie Dingel Member of Congress

She

Anna G. Eshoo Member of Congress

Adam B. Schiff Debbie Mucarsel-Powell oyd Doggett

Member of Congress Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Barbara Lee Member of Congress

Antonio Delgado Member of Congress

ared Member of Congress

Madelein

Madeleine Dean Member of Congress

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez Scott H. Peters Member of Congress

Member of Congress

marciad. July

Marcia L. Fudge Member of Congress

Don's Matsui

ma S. Adams, Ph.D. Member of Congress

Gerald E. Connolly Member of Congress

Doris O. Matsui Member of Congress

Robert C. "Bobby" Scott Member of Congress

Stephen F. Ly h Member of Congress

Brian Higgins Member of Congress

Juan Vargas Member of Congress

tephanie Murphy Member of Congress

Kim Schrier, M.D.

Member of Congress

Nanette Barragán Member of Congress

Frank Pallone, Jr. Member of Congress

1 leh

Peter Welch Member of Congress

Donald S. Bey Member of Con

Dina Titus Member of Congress

Dwight Evans Member of Congress

Ann Kirkpatrick Member of Congress

C.A. Dutch Ruppersberger Member of Congress

C.A. Dutch Prom

Joseph P. Kennedy, III Member of Congress

Steve Cohen Member of Congress

Bill Pascrell, Jr. Member of Congress

OUIN CASTRO

Joaquin Castro Member of Congress

Tony Cardenas Member of Congress

Rau Grhalva Member of Congress

Earl Blumenauer Member of Congress

Lori Trahan Member of Congress

Steven Horsford Member of Congress

Chellie Pingree Member of Congress

Richard E. Neal Member of Congress

Katie Hill Member of Congress